





# Smallholder Spotlight: The key to profitability for fish farmers is strong animal welfare

5 June 2023 By Øistein Thorsen

Øistein Thorsen: Better animal welfare starts with close observation



A tilapia farm in Thailand. The dominant market-based theory of change for improving farmed animal welfare is predicated on this rising citizen concern being turned into increased demand for food products differentiated by welfare practices.

The dominant playbook for farmed animal welfare today embraces a top-down approach, with buyers directing small-scale farmers how to farm and enforcing their demands through third-party audits for certification programs.

But here at FAI Farms, we believe the key to scaling aquatic farm animal welfare starts with training farmers to observe their fish to better identify welfare and business improvement opportunities. This way, welfare is no longer seen as a burden by farmer, but rather a powerful tool to solve their existing production challenges.

Animal welfare in food production is attracting increased interest from industry, academia, policymakers and the public. In academic circles, there is wide recognition that all vertebrates (including fish), decapod crustaceans and cephalopod molluscs are sentient, meaning they can experience positive and negative feelings such as pleasure or pain. This has given rise to civil-society campaigns and new legislation in certain countries all seeking to protect animals' basic welfare needs (Article 13, Consolidated version of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union [2016], OJ C 202).

The dominant market-based theory of change for improving farmed animal welfare is predicated on this rising citizen concern being turned into increased demand for food products differentiated by welfare practices. Meeting this demand has given rise to defined welfare standards and certifications.

## What is animal welfare?

But what does animal welfare really mean? The most dominant framework for animal welfare, "The Five Freedoms" (FAWF, 1993), was first proposed more than 50 years ago. It helps to define the mental and physical needs of kept animals by primarily aiming for "freedom from" negative welfare states. These are the freedom from (1) hunger and thirst, (2) discomfort, (3) pain, injury and disease, (4) fear

and distress and (5) the freedom to express normal behavior. Most existing certification standards and company policies have structured their requirements around these five freedoms, with little codesign or involvement from farmers.

More recently it has been proposed that animal welfare needs to go beyond avoidance of negatives toward providing an environment that actively promotes positive experiences and emotions for animals (Yeates and Main, 2008 (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17613265/)). The Five domains framework for animal welfare considers aspects related to the survival of the animals ("nutrition," "physical environment" and "health") and animals' "behavioral interactions" with their environment. These four domains all contribute toward the fifth domain, labeled "mental state" (Mellor, 2020 (https://www.mdpi.com/2076-2615/10/10/1870)). As our ability to measure and quantify these aspects improve, we gain more insight into animals' quality of life.

In addition to science, what is deemed acceptable treatment of animals is an ethical question (Broom, **2011** (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21347723/)). Animal welfare is therefore best understood as both a science-based and value-based concept (Fraser, 2008 (https://actavetscand.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1751-0147-50-S1-S1)) that is continuously evolving with society's expanding scientific understanding and changing moral considerations regarding the needs and wants of animals. While progress has been made, especially in terrestrial farming systems, most welfare certification standards remain niche. Furthermore, little focus has been given to the welfare of the 180 billion aquatic animals alive on aquaculture farms today.



A shrimp farm in Thailand. Redesigning farm routines animal behavior can lead to improvements in water quality and growth rates, reduction in mortality and feed costs (the largest input cost on any fed aquaculture farm).

### Improving fish welfare starts with the farmer

To address these shortcomings of current market-driven approaches to welfare improvements in aguaculture, FAI has set out to develop a new farmer-centric approach. From our work over the last 20 years, it's clear that farmers are the people in the supply chain with the most significant impact on the welfare of animals within their care on a day-to-day basis. Therefore, we think the shortest path to

impact on aquatic welfare is to work directly with farmers to raise their understanding and change their mindset. We want to position welfare not as a burden or a stick for them to be hit over the head with, but instead as a powerful tool to help farmers solve their existing production challenges.

I was in Vietnam when I first understood the potential for animal welfare and behavioral science to help improve the profitability, welfare and environmental footprint of aquaculture. Hidden in a long presentation about trends, challenges and opportunities facing the Southeast Asian shrimp industry was a mention of management changes that had been made in response to the observation that shrimp primarily feed at night. By redesigning farm routines around this animal behavioral insight, the farms had seen improvements in water quality and growth rates, reduction in mortality and feed costs (the largest input cost on any fed aquaculture farm). A classic win-win-win situation resulting from a better understanding of the behavior preferences of the animals we farm.

> Farmers are the people in the supply chain with the most significant impact on the welfare of animals within their care on a day-to-day basis.

#### Putting welfare science in the hands of farmers

Inspired by this insight, our team embarked on a journey to figure out how farmers can access the latest scientific welfare knowledge in a practical way. Alongside our own team, which at the time managed a tilapia hatchery in Brazil, we established a network of fish farmers, industry actors and academics in Brazil, Thailand and China to develop and trial a new approach. We settled on observational assessments as a tool for farmers to learn more about their own fish, while instantaneously comparing it to the best scientific parameters of what good welfare looks like. Through an easy-to-use assessment protocol, with a standardized three-point scoring system to reflect the fish's experience, we succeeded at making the latest encrypted knowledge from thousands of scientific peer-reviewed publications available to farmers of tilapia, shrimp and carp, for the purpose of identifying improvement opportunities and adapt their practices accordingly.

The assessment protocol builds on the five-domain framework discussed above, but focuses on the four categories that are currently easiest to measure in a practical setting, namely: 1) nutrition; 2) environment; 3) health; and 4) behavior. The protocol is built using language and concepts familiar to fish farmers. Each of the four categories considers the severity and duration of any potential welfare risks and contains sets of parameters for what is acceptable to that specific species at different life

stages and independent of different farming systems. The recorded parameters trigger the three-point scoring system that helps farmers identify and prioritize improvement measures, actions and initiatives.

#### **Trust in assessments**

Assessments – whether they are conducted by farmers themselves, researchers, or company representatives of third parties – are a powerful tool to overcome the limits of current top-down mandated improvement models. Assessments, in contrast, are a democratizing tool that can educate and inspire. While certifications alone are unlikely to ever have the bandwidth to reach every aquaculture farmer around the world, a mindset shift, might!

By setting specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-bound goals and regularly reviewing their progress, assessments help move us towards more sustainable, higher welfare and efficient food supply chains. Not because farmers are told to, but because they want to. By aligning benefits for farmers and animals, food supply chain companies can openly demonstrate their commitment to welfare and sustainability – which in turn will help build trust with consumers and other stakeholders.

Aquatic animal welfare is a relatively new field and the assessment framework builds on current best knowledge and practice. Our goal - and we hope you share it - is to operationalize welfare by creating a common industry language for what good aquatic welfare looks like and how to improve it.

Follow the Advocate on Twitter @GSA\_Advocate (https://twitter.com/GSA\_Advocate)

#### **Author**



**ØISTEIN THORSEN** 

CFO **FAI Farms** 

Copyright © 2023 Global Seafood Alliance

All rights reserved.