



Alliance

(<https://www.aquaculturealliance.org>)



Aquafeeds

Regular testing maximizes feed equipment performance

Thursday, 1 August 2002

By Leonard G. Obaldo, Ph.D.

Feed quality depends on numerous equipment-related factors




Fig. 1: Above: Typical hammer mill and magnet. Top right: Mill hammers. Bottom right: Screen damage from metal in mill.

The goal of shrimp feed manufacturing is to produce a high-quality feed with specific nutritional and physical characteristics to satisfy the nutrient and feeding requirements of the targeted species. To meet these requirements, the manufacturing process must often be modified and optimized. The major operations that influence processing decisions include ingredient size reduction, mixing and pelleting or extrusion.

Particle size reduction

Particle size reduction is fundamental to the production of high-quality shrimp feeds, and its periodic evaluation is a necessary component of feed quality assurance. Hammer mills and pulverizers are the most commonly used size-reduction equipment. Hammer mills are normally used for coarser grinding, while pulverizers provide a finer grind. Adjustable grinding parameters in hammer mills include particle size, production rate, energy consumption, and hammer and screen wear rates.

Ingredient size

The size of ingredient particles plays a critical role in mixing performance as well as pelleting. Geometric mean diameter and geometric standard deviation are used to represent the average particle size and variation of ingredients retained on a sieve.

The equipment set required for particle size analysis includes a scale, shaker, sieves, sieve cleaners, and brushes. Analysis is performed by using a stack of sieves with the coarsest on top and the finest at the bottom. The weight of the material that remains on each sieve is recorded and entered into a spreadsheet program designed to calculate the geometric mean diameter and standard deviation.

The current particle size for shrimp feed is still governed by the industry standard that 90 to 95 percent of ingredients should pass through a 60-mesh or 250- μ screen.

Hammers and screens

For efficient performance of hammer mills, periodic inspection of hammers and screens is recommended. A typical hammer mill with hammers, screens, and magnet is shown in Fig. 1. This hammer mill has 154 hammers with a 4 x 3 hammer pattern.

The hammers are square on both ends and need to be turned only when half the width is worn out. However, when both sides are worn, or when greater than normal clearance from hammer to screen occurs, the hammer needs to be replaced. The screens, on the other hand, have holes and dents that indicate metal found its way into the hammer mill. Regular inspection and cleaning of the magnet that should capture the metal is recommended.

Energy consumption

The energy required to grind shrimp feed ingredients from one size to another varies exponentially with particle size. As the desired size falls below 300 μ , the energy needed for grinding increases dramatically. It would cost about 3 kilowatt hour per ton to grind a mean particle size of 600 μ to 300 μ , and about 20 kilowatt hour per ton to grind the same ingredient to a size of 100 μ . The combination of a hammer mill (to produce a coarser grind) and pulverizer (to produce a finer grind) could help optimize the energy required for different particle sizes.

Mixing

Mixing is one of the most important operations in shrimp feed manufacturing. Consistent quality of shrimp feeds is determined by mixers' ability to thoroughly mix ingredients, which cannot be produced without accurate mixing. The size, shape, density, hygroscopicity, electrostaticity, and adhesiveness of the ingredients all influence the mixing process. Mixers should be tested to confirm the optimum mix time for a given formulation.



The grate size above this loading hopper should be reduced to prevent material from falling into the mixing area.

Mixer inspection and cleaning

In some feed-manufacturing plants, strings and torn pieces of material from ingredient bags find their way into a premixer. The grate size at the top of this mixer's loading hopper should be reduced to prevent pieces of material from falling into the mixing area. Regular inspection and cleaning are recommended.

Performance testing

Coefficient of variation (CV), which indicates mixer performance, should be determined for each mixture of ingredients. Before the start of any mixer test, mixer cleaning is mandatory.

Mixer performance could be evaluated by mixing a soybean meal with 5 percent salt. At the end of mixing, representative samples are typically collected at 10 sampling points inside the mixer and analyzed using Quantab chloride titrators. The mean and standard deviations of the resulting salt concentration data are calculated to determine the mixer's CV. In general, a CV of less than 10 percent is a good mix.

Capacity

It is important to document mixer size, batch size, and shaft speed to determine mixing capacity and achieve better mixing uniformity. For example, a horizontal ribbon mixer could have a maximum capacity of two tons based on a mixing volume of 3.75 cubic meters and a shaft speed of 36 rpm. Running the mixer to about 90 percent capacity would produce a CV of 3 percent.

Pelleting or extrusion

Pelleting is the cheapest method of producing shrimp feeds. Although more expensive, extrusion permits greater flexibility and usually results in more stable pellets.

Pelleted shrimp feeds are often unstable in water, so binders are added to increase pellet integrity and ensure maximum retention of nutrients in the feed. In pelleting, pellets are produced through a mechanical process that compacts and forces a mixture of ingredients through a die opening.


Operating parameters include production rate, pellet moisture and temperature after the die, moisture of finished pellets after the cooler, temperature and moisture at the conditioner, energy consumption, and conditioning time. Adjustments of these parameters are used to fine tune and optimize the pelleting process.

Parameters for optimizing the extrusion process include production rate, moisture content, extrudate temperature, final moisture of finished product, feed mash moisture and temperature, degree of starch gelatinization and desired pellet density.

Preconditioner retention time

Retention time is that required for mash to pass through the preconditioning chamber, where steam is added as the material is conveyed into the pelleting chamber. Longer retention times, which allow steam to penetrate and condition the mixture, can be accomplished either by reducing the preconditioner speed or changing the configuration of the picks.

Preconditioner retention time is measured using chromic oxide as a marker and a stopwatch for recording time. The moisture content of mash in the preconditioning chamber should be at least 16 to 18 percent to produce pellets with good water stability.



Above: Preconditioners contain rotating, adjustable picks. Right: Pelleting chamber.

Conclusion

The quality of shrimp feed is characterized by its pellet water stability, degree of starch gelatinization, durability index, density and texture. However, the degree and extent of feed quality depend on the types of ingredients and binders used, particle size reduction, mixing uniformity and the method of agglomeration used to manufacture the shrimp feeds.

(Editor's Note: This article was originally published in the August 2002 print edition of the Global Aquaculture Advocate.)

Author



LEONARD G. OBALDO, PH.D.

The Oceanic Institute
41-202 Kalanianaʻole Highway
Waimanalo, Hawaii 96795 USA

lobaldo@oceanicinstitute.org (<mailto:lobaldo@oceanicinstitute.org>)

Copyright © 2016–2020 Global Aquaculture Alliance

All rights reserved.