




ALLIANCE™

(<https://www.globalseafood.org>)



# Development of new and real-time PCR for detection and quantification of NHPB

26 November 2018

By Luis Fernando Aranguren, Ph.D. and Arun K. Dhar, Ph.D.

**Newly described method an additional diagnostic tool for confirmation of the bacterial pathogen necrotising hepatopancreatitis**



Pacific white shrimp displaying NHPB clinical signs of NHPB; juvenile (left); adult (right).

Necrotising hepatopancreatitis (NHP) is an enteric bacterial disease caused by a Gram-negative bacterium classified as *Hepatobacter penaei* (NHPB). It affects cultured penaeid shrimp in several countries from the Americas, including the United States, Mexico, Belize, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Costa Rica, Nicaragua, Panama, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Venezuela.

NHP is a chronic disease that causes mortalities up to 50 to 95 percent in affected postlarvae (PL), juveniles and broodstock of Pacific white shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*). The manifestation of clinical signs in NHP at the farm level is dependent on environmental conditions such as high salinity and high temperature. NHP-infected shrimp show a typical soft shell, flaccid bodies, reduced feed intake and empty midguts.

The course of NHP infection has two phases: acute and chronic phases. Acute phase lesions in NHP-affected shrimp include necrosis and sloughing off of epithelial cells in the hepatopancreas (HP) and melanized HP tubules. In the chronic phase, the HP lesions are characterized by atrophy of tubules, reduced epithelial cell height, low lipid storage R cells and intratubular edema.




Fig. 1: H&E section of the hepatopancreas of an NHP-affected shrimp displaying necrosis, sloughing of cuticular epithelial cells (indicated by the small arrows) and melanized tubules (indicated by the large arrows). H&E 200X.



(<https://aquatechcenter.com/species/pacific-white-shrimp/>).

Since the first report in 1988, NHP has become such an important disease in the shrimp farming industry in the Western Hemisphere that, in 2010, it was listed in the List of Crustacean Diseases of the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE). Several diagnostic methods have been developed to detect and confirm the presence of NHPB, including Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), histology and *in-situ* hybridization and qPCR. However, there is only one PCR method recommended in the OIE manual and that creates the need to have an alternative PCR and real-time PCR (qPCR) assays for NHPB detection and confirmation.

The current PCR and qPCR assays based on the amplification of the 16S rRNA gene developed at The University of Arizona's Aquaculture Pathology Laboratory (UAZ-APL) are the only methods recommended in the OIE manual for NHPB detection. Although these techniques are quite sensitive and specific to NHPB detection in shrimp, in recent years, occasionally non-specific amplifications have been observed in the end-point PCR when screening samples for NHPB in artemia cysts that were submitted to UAZ-APL.

## Enhancing specificity of NHPB detection

To enhance the specificity of NHPB detection by PCR and real-time PCR, we targeted a region of the NHPB flagella gene, called flagella hook protein (*flgE*), which is present only in flagellated bacteria. The flagella genes have evolved and diverged in a lineage-specific manner in the different bacterial groups, making this gene region highly specific for NHPB detection.




Fig. 2: A schematic diagram of bacterial flagellar system including the *flgE* region (Modified from, Liu et al., 2017).

The agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR amplicons using the 16S rRNA primers from two samples (18-316 and 17-689 in the left panel of Fig. 3) of artemia cysts displayed some non-specific bands of 379 bp (Fig. 3). The panel on the right side shows the same set of samples amplified with the *flgE* primers. Unlike the amplification using the 16S rRNA primers, amplification with the *flgE* primers shows no amplification products in the electrophoresis gel. Sequencing of the amplicon from the 16S rRNA primers confirmed the unspecific amplification product.

Fig. 3: PCR detection of NHPB in samples of artemia cysts using primers based on two different genes, 16S rRNA and *flgE* genes.

We also developed a new real-time PCR method targeting the same *flgE* region and by using a TaqMan hydrolysis probe (designed to increase the specificity of quantitative PCR). The new real-time PCR assay for NHPB did not provide any non-specific amplification, as obtained with the current OIE real-time PCR assay, and has a detection limit of 100 copies and a log-linear range of up to  $10^8$  copies.

## Sensitivity

The analytical sensitivity of the new NHP PCR was determined by using 10 NHPB positive samples from seven origins with two different set of primers: 16S rRNA and *flg E*. In Fig. 4, the left side of the agarose gel shows samples amplified by using the current OIE-recommended method (16S rRNA); on the right side are the same samples amplified by the new method. Both methods provided similar results, and similar intensity of the DNA bands in the agarose gel.

Fig. 4: Detection of NHPB by conventional PCR in ten different samples of infected *Penaeus vannamei* hepatopancreas based on 16S rRNA and *flgE* genes. M: 1 kb plus ladder molecular weight marker; ntc, Non-template control; 1, Ecuador 2015a; 4, Mexico 2013; 5, Texas 2013a; 6, Belize 2016; 7, Panama 2016; 8, Texas 2006a; 9, Honduras 2016; 10, Texas 2006b; 11, Texas 2013b; 12; Brazil 2012.

In order to determine the specificity of amplification using the *flgE* gene-based protocol, DNA extracted from shrimp infected with viral diseases including IMN, YHD, IHNN, WSD and TS, and bacterial diseases – including *V. parahaemolyticus*, *V. harveyi*, *Spiroplasma penaei* – *V. parahaemolyticus* causing AHPND and NHPB were used as templates for PCR amplification. Negative results were obtained for all shrimp diseases except NHPB. This indicates the high specificity of the primers used for the amplification of *flgE* gene in NHPB detection.

We also investigated the phylogenetic relationship of NHPB isolates obtained from different geographic regions. The NHPB-positive samples used in this study originated in Texas, USA (samples a & b from 2016), Ecuador (2011), Mexico (2013), Texas, USA (samples a, b & c from 2013), Ecuador (samples a & b from 2015) and Honduras (2016). The phylogenetic analysis based on the 16S rRNA gene showed two clusters: one cluster contained isolates from Ecuador, while the second cluster contained isolates from Mexico and Texas, USA (Fig. 5). Samples from Ecuador in 2011 and 2015 were grouped in the same cluster, which suggests the presence of the same NHPB isolate.

Fig. 5: Phylogenetic tree from the alignment of the 16S rRNA sequences from 12 *H. penaei* (NHPB) geographical isolates.

## Implications of NHPB at the farm level

NHP has been reported in sub-adults and broodstock populations in farms, causing different mortality pattern in the Americas. In some countries, such as in Colombia, NHP does not cause acute mortalities as reported in other countries, and the typical NHP clinical signs are not always detected. This phenomenon could be related to the resistance of some *P. vannamei* lines to NHP. It is also possible that NHPB isolates may vary in their pathogenicity which could explain the different mortality pattern in different regions.

## Perspectives

We described conventional PCR and real-time PCR assays based on the NHPB *flgE* gene as alternative methods for the detection and quantification of NHPB in shrimp and shrimp-associated samples, including artemia.

Both assays are highly specific and sensitive. The sensitivity of the *flgE* NHP PCR is similar to the currently recommended OIE method, but due to its higher specificity compared to the current OIE method, the newly described method will be an additional diagnostic tool for confirmation of this pathogen.

*References available from corresponding author.*

## Authors

---



**LUIS FERNANDO ARANGUREN, PH.D.**

Corresponding author  
Aquaculture Pathology Laboratory  
School of Animal and Comparative Biomedical Sciences  
The University of Arizona  
Tucson, Arizona, USA

[lfarangu@email.arizona.edu](mailto:lfarangu@email.arizona.edu) (<mailto:lfarangu@email.arizona.edu>).



**ARUN K. DHAR, PH.D.**

Associate Professor & Director  
Aquaculture Pathology Laboratory  
School of Animal and Comparative Biomedical Sciences  
The University of Arizona  
Tucson, Arizona, USA

Copyright © 2024 Global Seafood Alliance

All rights reserved.